1	
2	
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6	DICHARD CARDONA
7	RICHARD CARDONA,)
8	Petitioner,) No. C 08-5429 CRB (PR)
9	vs.) ORDER REQUESTING SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING
10	BEN CURRY, Warden,
11	Respondent.
12	
13	A recent en banc decision from the Ninth Circuit addressed important issues
14	relating to federal review of parole decisions in California. See Hayward v. Marshall,
15	No. 06-55392, 2010 WL 1664977 (9th Cir. April 22, 2010) (en banc). The court
16	requests that the parties submit supplemental briefs (not to exceed 12 pages in length)
17	explaining their views on how the <u>Hayward</u> en banc decision applies to this parole
18	denial habeas case. The court sets the following schedule:
19	1. Within 10 days of this order, respondent shall send to petitioner a copy
20	of the <u>Hayward</u> decision.
21	2. Within 30 days of this order, respondent shall file with the court and
22	serve on petitioner a supplemental brief on the impact of <u>Hayward</u> on this action.
23	3. Within 15 days thereafter, petitioner may file with the court and serve on
24	respondent a supplemental brief on the impact of <u>Hayward</u> on this action.
25	SO ORDERED.
26	DATED: April 30, 2010 CHARLES R. BREYER
27	United States District Judge
28	G:\PRO-SE\CRB\HC.08\Cardona, R1.or1.wpd